For the Northern District of California

•	$I \cap T$			\sim 17	- ^ -	-10	
n		FC	שו	<i>1</i> - 1 1	_ ^ _	11	NI
	4 L J I		,,,		_		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD NELSON BRYANT,

Petitioner,

No. C 05-2535 PJH (PR)

٧.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

MARIO REYES, Warden,

Respondent.

Petitioner, a California prisoner, has filed a pro se petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He also seeks to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

Venue is proper in this district because the conviction was obtained in San Francisco, which is in this district. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

A district court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

A habeas petition may be dismissed summarily "[i]f it plainly appears from the face of the petition and any exhibits annexed to it that the petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court. . . . " Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254; Hendricks v. Vasquez, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir. 1990).

28 ///

3 4

.,

B. Legal claims

Petitioner alleges that he pled guilty to a charge of failure to register as a sex offender. As grounds for relief he contends that he was never told he had to register and that he was vindictively prosecuted. The guilty plea waived these claims. *See Haring v. Prosise*, 462 U.S. 306, 319-20 (1983) (guilty plea forecloses consideration of pre-plea constitutional deprivations). The only challenges left open in federal habeas corpus after a guilty plea is the voluntary and intelligent character of the plea and the nature of the advice of counsel to plead, *Hill v. Lockhart*, 474 U.S. 52, 56-57 (1985), claims petitioner does not raise here. The petition will be dismissed.¹

CONCLUSION

Leave to proceed in forma pauperis (doc 3) is **GRANTED**. For the foregoing reasons, the petition is summarily **DISMISSED**. See Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254.

The clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 6, 2006.

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

G:\PRO-SE\PJH\HC.05\BRYANT535.DSM

¹Petitioner also does not allege that he filed any state appellate or habeas proceedings, so it appears he has not exhausted.